



CSH Literature Review of Supportive Housing: Criminal Justice

Please do not distribute or share without permission from CSH.

Information listed in the Outcomes table has been taken directly from the relevant study. Such outcomes do not constitute analysis or advice.

Please direct all questions and concerns about the literature review to pfs@csh.org

#	Study	N	Study design	Impact: Criminal Justice
1	Culhane (2002)	3,365	Matched control group	<p>1.9% pt decline in proportion of population incarcerated in prison by intervention group (3.3% to 1.4%) over two years. 0.2% pt increase experienced by control group.</p> <p>73% decline in mean number of prison days used by intervention group (11.2 to 3.0) over two years. 5% increase experienced by control group.</p> <p>4% pt decline in proportion of population incarcerated in jail by intervention group (13.4% to 9.4%) over two years. 0.8% pt decline experienced by control group.</p> <p>40% decline in mean number of jail days used by intervention group (11.0 to 6.6 days) over two years. 8.7% decline experienced by control group.</p>
2	Perlman and Parvensky (2006)	19	Pre/post study	76% decline in incarceration days and costs
3	Mondello et al (2007)	99	Pre/post study	<p>66% decline in police contact costs</p> <p>62% decline in incarceration</p>
4	Hall (2008)	20	Pre/post study	<p>45% decline in county jail bookings after one year</p> <p>42% decline in county jail days after one year</p>
5	Latham et al (2008)	586	Pre/post study	85% of youth did not have contact with justice system after entering housing
6	Mondello et al (2009)	163	Pre/post study	95% decline in incarceration costs

Please note that some text is copied directly from source material in order to most accurately capture results conveyed. Please check the source materials and cite appropriately.

#	Study	N	Study design	Impact: Criminal Justice
7	The Heartland Alliance and Mid-America Institute on Poverty (2009)	177	Pre/post study	100% decrease in time spent in state prison over program length 86% decrease in overnight jail stays 68% decrease in jail costs
8	Flaming, Burns, Matsunaga (2009)	279	Pre/post study	95% savings (\$110) estimated for sheriff general jail 95% savings (\$80) estimated for sheriff medical services
9	Larimer, Malone, Garner et al (2009)	95	Wait-list control group	Decline from 0.5 jail days per person per month in year prior to housing to 0.0 in 6 and 12 months after housing Decline in jail bookings from 0.2 per person per month in year prior to housing to 0 in 6 and 12 months after housing
10	MA Housing & Shelter Alliance (2012)	555	Pre/post study	Decline in days incarcerated from 8.03 to 0.72 per person in 12 months pre/post housing
11	Knoxville Mayor's Office et al (2012)	47	Pre/post study	99% decrease in Knox County Sheriff's Office costs associated with incarceration (\$45,072 vs. \$640) and an 86% decrease of days in jail Knoxville Police Department showed a 67% decrease in field interviews, citations and arrests one year after permanent supportive housing for participants
12	Aidala et al (2013)	72	Control group	19.2 fewer days incarcerated, a 40% reduction over the comparison group. Fewer jail admissions over the 24 month follow-up period.
13	NYC Dept of Health & Mental Hygiene (2013)	1695	Control group	Saving of \$1,298 per person in jail costs compared to control group

Please note that some text is copied directly from source material in order to most accurately capture results conveyed. Please check the source materials and cite appropriately.

#	Study	N	Study design	Impact: Criminal Justice
14	Thomas et al (2014)	73	Pre/post study	Most tenants not involved in CJ system but of those that were, they had 78% reduction in arrests and a 84% reduction in jail nights
15	Basu et al (2012)	201	RCT	<p>0.05 fewer arrests than control</p> <p>4.06 more days in jail than control</p> <p>0.03 fewer convictions than control</p> <p>7.73 fewer days in prison than control</p>
16	MA Housing & Shelter Alliance (2016)	900	Pre/post study	Reduction in prison days in six months post-housing. Figures included in charts but not labeled.
17	Mental Health Commission of Canada (2014)	1,158	RCT	The majority (89 per cent) had at least one interaction with police officers, which could involve help-seeking, information requests or criminal activity. Around one third of participants were actually arrested during the study timeframe. Both HF and TAU groups reported substantial declines in their contacts with justice services (police, security services, courts, and other justice services), with no significant difference between the groups. When reasons for arrests were investigated, however, HF participants reported fewer arrests for public nuisance offences and drug-related offences over time, whereas TAU participants reported no such decline.

Please note that some text is copied directly from source material in order to most accurately capture results conveyed. Please check the source materials and cite appropriately.

Sources

Aidala, Angela A., William McAllister, Maiko Yomogida, and Virginia Shubert. "Frequent Users Service Enhancement 'FUSE' Initiative: New York City FUSE II Evaluation Report." Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health (2013).

Basu, A., Kee, R., Buchanan, D. and Sadowski, L. S. (2012), Comparative Cost Analysis of Housing and Case Management Program for Chronically Ill Homeless Adults Compared to Usual Care. *Health Serv Res*, 47: 523–543.

Culhane, Dennis P., Stephen Metraux, and Trevor Hadley. "Public Service Reductions Associated with Placement of Homeless Persons with Severe Mental Illness in Supportive Housing." *Housing Policy Debate* 13.1 (2002): 107-63.

Flaming, Daniel, Patrick Burns and Michael Matsunaga. "Where We Sleep: Costs when Homeless and Housed in Los Angeles." *Economic Roundtable* (2009).

Knoxville Mayors' Office, The Knox County Health Department Epidemiology Program and the University of Tennessee College of Social Work. "Comparative Costs and Benefits of Permanent Supportive Housing in Knoxville, Tennessee" (2012).

Hall, Elinor. "Frequent Users of Health Services: A Priceless Opportunity for Change." *Health Policy and Management Consulting* (August 2008).

Massachusetts Housing and Shelter Alliance. "Permanent Supportive Housing: A Solution that Works." *Home & Healthy for Good* (March 2012).

Massachusetts Housing and Shelter Alliance. "Permanent Supportive Housing: A Solution that Works." *Home & Healthy for Good Update* (June 2016).

Larimer, Mary E., Daniel K. Malone, Michael D. Garner, David C. Atkins, and Bonnie Burlingham. "Health Care and Public Service Use and Costs Before and After Provision of Housing for Chronically Homeless Persons With Severe Alcohol Problems." *JAMA Network* (2009).

Latham, Nancy, Emily Boer Drake, Rachel Cuevas, and Eiko Sugano. "Foster Youth Housing Initiative: Final Evaluation Findings." *LaFrance Associates*. (November 2008).

Mental Health Commission of Canada. "National Final Report: Cross-Site At Home" (2014).

Mondello, Melany, Anne B. Gass, Thomas McLaughlin, and Nancy Shore. "Supportive Housing in Maine: Cost Analysis of Permanent Supportive Housing." Prepared for US Dept of Housing and Urban Development and Office of Policy Development and Research. (July 2007).

Mondello, Melany, John Bradley, Tony Chalmers McLaughlin, and Nancy Shore. "Cost of Rural Homelessness: Rural Permanent Supportive Housing Cost Analysis." *State of Maine* (May 2009).

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. "New York/New York III Supportive Housing Evaluation: Interim Utilization and Cost Analysis" (2013).

Please note that some text is copied directly from source material in order to most accurately capture results conveyed. Please check the source materials and cite appropriately.

Perlman, Jennifer and John Parvensky. "Denver Housing First Collaborative Cost Benefit Analysis and Program Outcomes Report." Denver Housing First Collaborative (December 2006).

The Heartland Alliance and Mid-America Institute on Poverty. "Supportive Housing in Illinois: A Wise Investment" (April 2009).

Thomas, Lori M., Jeffery K. Shears, Melannie Clapsadl Pate, and Mary Ann Priester. "Moore Place Permanent Supportive Housing Evaluation Study: Year 1 Report." UNC Charlotte College of Health and Human Services (February 2014).

Please note that some text is copied directly from source material in order to most accurately capture results conveyed. Please check the source materials and cite appropriately.